



Low Inflation Is No “Mystery”

JOHN MAULDIN | September 27, 2017

When is a mystery not a mystery? When Janet Yellen is puzzling over a lack of inflation, that's when. So say Brian Wesbury, chief economist, and Robert Stein, deputy chief economist of First Trust, in today's *Outside the Box*. The bottom line: QE didn't work, and Janet knew it was unlikely to work, from the start.

So where did all that easy money go? I think I'll let the authors tell you. I think you'll enjoy this brief, clear-headed essay.

The essay was sent to me along with a rant by my friend Tom Bentley. One of his paragraphs really rang a bell with me:

Here's the real problem: “The Fed has never fracked a well or written an app. We understand that government bureaucracies want to take credit for everything.” They simply refuse to understand that government in a healthy economy must serve the needs of private endeavor, not the other way around. As taxes at all levels exceed 37% of GDP in the US, and over 50% in Europe, there should be no doubt why advanced country growth is lower than it has been since we've had advanced countries. Government typically makes a negative contribution to productivity – look at how much we have expanded employment in education (mostly by hiring more administrators), while educational outcomes have stagnated. The real travesty is, “[The Fed] is teaching an entire generation of young people, who in many cases don't study economic history, that growth requires government intervention.” Helps explain why so many millennials are Socialists, victims of the massive indoctrination campaign conducted by the Democrat party.

I am in Chicago today, and after spending a delightful 30 minutes with Rick Santelli to do a three-minute television hit on CNBC (you can see it [here](#)), I found out my lunch plans had changed; so I called bond guru Jim Bianco, who met me for lunch and then played Chamber of Commerce host, taking me all over North Chicago for 45 minutes and showing me all these wonderful spots where the mob congregated and shot each other, plus Wrigley Field and all sorts of other good history.

Jim and I agreed that no matter what Yellen says – and no matter what the Fed dot plot says – they are not going to raise rates five times between now and the end of 2018. And whatever quantitative tightening they do actually undertake is going to be very timid and slow. The simple fact is that the current FOMC wants to walk away maintaining they were doing the right thing and bragging that all of the good results we've seen, as weak as they are, are things they can take credit for and that the problems are somebody else's fault. Pretty much the way every teenager and certainly every politician likes to operate.

But it's a beautiful day here in Chicago. I'll meet my host tonight and then speak to the Wisconsin University real estate program alumni tomorrow morning before flying back to Dallas and then on to Portugal. Between now and this evening I have a few more meetings, so I'm going to hit the send button and let you dig into today's *Outside the Box*. Enjoy your week!

Your believing the government is the problem, not the solution analyst,



John Mauldin, Editor
Outside the Box

Low Inflation Is No “Mystery”

By Brian S. Wesbury, chief economist, and Robert Stein, deputy chief economist, First Trust
September 25, 2017

Last week, at her press conference, Federal Reserve Chair Janet Yellen said continued low inflation was a “mystery.”

She's referring to Quantitative Easing (QE) and the lack of the economic evidence that it worked. The Fed bought \$3.5 trillion of bonds with money it created out of thin air in an extraordinary “experiment” to avoid repeating the mistakes of the deflationary Great Depression. Milton Friedman was the leading scholar in this arena, proving the damage done by a shrinking money supply during the 1930s.

The money supply is a “demand-side” economic tool. A lack of money inhibits demand, while a surplus of money (more than the economy needs to grow) can cause inflation. The idea of QE (which has been tried unfruitfully for more than a decade in Japan) was to boost “demand-side” growth. And, yet, inflation and economic growth have both been weak. In other words, demand did not accelerate.

So forgive us for asking, but after unprecedented expansion of banking reserves and the Fed balance sheet, with little inflation, is it really a “mystery?” Or, is it proof of what we believed all along: QE didn't work?

We get it. Just the fact that the US economic recovery started in 2009 and stock prices went higher is all some need to convince themselves that QE worked. But no one knows what would have happened without QE.

Back in 2008, even Janet Yellen knew there were problems with QE. During a December 2008 Fed meeting, she said there were “no discernible economic effects” from Japanese QE. Back then she was a Fed Governor and this was said during internal debates about whether to do QE. Today she leads the Fed and bureaucracies can never admit failure. So, the lack of inflation becomes a “mystery.”

Conventional Wisdom is so convinced that QE worked, it can't see anything as a failure. QE supposedly pushed up stock prices and drove down interest rates, while at the same time boosting jobs.

As for the lack of demand-side growth, the explanations are confusing. Yellen says low inflation is a mystery, others say it's because of new technologies, global trade, and rising productivity. Slow real GDP growth is blamed on global trade, a Great Stagnation in productivity and the lack of investment by private companies. QE gets credit for the things that went up, but things that didn't are explained away, denied, or determined to be mysteries.

We have promoted an alternative narrative that agrees with the 2008 Janet Yellen – QE didn't work. It flooded the banking system with cash. But instead of boosting Milton Friedman's key money number (M2), the excess monetary base growth went into "excess reserves" – money the banks hold as deposits, but don't lend out. Money in the warehouse (or in this case, credits on a computer) doesn't boost demand! This is why real GDP and inflation (nominal GDP) never accelerated in line with monetary base growth.

The Fed boosted bank reserves, but the banks never lent out and multiplied it like they had in previous decades. In fact, the M2 money supply (bank deposits) grew at roughly 6% since 2008, which is the same rate it grew in the second half of the 1990s.

So, why did stock prices rise and unemployment fall? Our answer: Once changes to mark-to-market accounting brought the Panic of 2008 to an end, which was five months after QE started, entrepreneurial activity accelerated. New technology (fracking, the cloud, Smartphones, Apps, the Genome, and 3-D printing) boosted efficiency and productivity in the private sector. In fact, if we look back we are astounded by the new technologies that have come of age in just the past decade. These new technologies boosted corporate profits and stock prices and, yes, the economy grew too.

The one thing that did change from the 1990s was the size of the government. Tax rates, regulation and redistribution all went up significantly. This weighed on the economy and real GDP growth never got back to 3.5% to 4%.

Occam's Razor – a theory about problem solving – says, when there are competing hypothesis, the one with the "fewest assumptions" is most likely the correct one.

The Fed narrative assumes QE worked and then uses questionable economics to explain away anything that does not fit that theory. It blames "mysterious" forces, both strong and weak productivity and claims business under-invested. We've never understood the weak investment argument; why would business leave opportunities on the table by not investing?

Our narrative is far simpler. It looks at M2 growth, gives credit to entrepreneurs, and blames big government. After all, the US economy grew rapidly before 1913 when there was no Fed, and during the 1980s and 90s, when Volcker and Greenspan were not doing QE. And history shows that inventions boost growth, while big government and redistribution harm it. Because it has the fewest assumptions, Occam's Razor suggests this is the more likely hypothesis.

The Fed has never fracked a well or written an app. We understand that government bureaucracies want to take credit for everything. But, in spite of record-setting money printing, inflation did not rise. Prices are measured in dollars, so if those dollars had actually entered the economy, prices in dollar terms would have gone up. They didn't, which clearly says that money didn't enter the economy and QE didn't work as advertised.

Some say that's because the money went into financial assets, but if that was the case the P-E ratio for the S&P 500 would be through the roof. But because earnings have risen so sharply, the P-E ratio is well within historical averages based on trailing 12-month earnings and relative to bond yields.

We also understand that entrepreneurship is a "mystery" to some people because they can't do it. Most people can't change the world the way entrepreneurs can, but that doesn't mean that by rearranging the assets of an economy in a different way, entrepreneurs don't create new wealth.

By claiming that low inflation is a "mystery" the Fed is admitting it doesn't understand the mechanics of QE. Yet, it is perfectly willing to allow people to think QE is what saved the economy. This is teaching an entire generation of young people, who in many cases don't study economic history, that growth requires government intervention. The only "mystery" is why they would allow this to happen.

Copyright 2017 John Mauldin. All Rights Reserved.

Share Your Thoughts on This Article

Post a Comment

Like *Outside the Box*? Then we think you'll love John's premium product, *Over My Shoulder*. Each week John Mauldin sends his *Over My Shoulder* subscribers the most interesting items that he personally cherry picks from the dozens of books, reports, and articles he reads each week as part of his research. [Learn more about Over My Shoulder](#)

Outside the Box is a free weekly economic e-letter by best-selling author and renowned financial expert, John Mauldin. You can learn more and get your free subscription by visiting <http://www.mauldineconomics.com>.

Please write to subscribers@mauldineconomics.com to inform us of any reproductions, including when and where copy will be reproduced. You must keep the letter intact, from introduction to disclaimers. If you would like to quote brief portions only, please reference <http://www.mauldineconomics.com>.

To subscribe to John Mauldin's e-letter, please click here: <http://www.mauldineconomics.com/subscribe/>

To change your email address, please click here: <http://www.mauldineconomics.com/change-address>

If you would ALSO like changes applied to the Mauldin Circle e-letter, please include your old and new email address along with a note requesting the change for both e-letters and send your request to compliance@2000wave.com.

To unsubscribe, please refer to the bottom of the email.

Outside the Box and JohnMauldin.com is not an offering for any investment. It represents only the opinions of John Mauldin and those that he interviews. Any views expressed are provided for information purposes only and should not be construed in any way as an offer, an endorsement, or inducement to invest and is not in any way a testimony of, or associated with, Mauldin's other firms. John Mauldin is the Chairman of Mauldin Economics, LLC. He also is the President of Millennium Wave Advisors, LLC (MWA) which is an investment advisory firm registered with multiple states, President and registered representative of Millennium Wave Securities, LLC, (MWS) member FINRA, SIPC. MWS is also a Commodity Pool Operator (CPO) and a Commodity Trading Advisor (CTA) registered with the CFTC, as well as an Introducing Broker (IB) and NFA Member. Millennium Wave Investments is a dba of MWA LLC and MWS LLC. This message may contain information that is confidential or privileged and is intended only for the individual or entity named above and does not constitute an offer for or advice about any alternative investment product. Such advice can only be made when accompanied by a prospectus or similar offering document. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. Please make sure to review important disclosures at the end of each article. Mauldin companies may have a marketing relationship with products and services mentioned in this letter for a fee.

Note: Joining the Mauldin Circle is not an offering for any investment. It represents only the opinions of John Mauldin and Millennium Wave Investments. It is intended solely for investors who have registered with Millennium Wave Investments and its partners at www.MauldinCircle.com or directly related websites. The Mauldin Circle may send out material that is provided on a confidential basis, and subscribers to the Mauldin Circle are not to send this letter to anyone other than their professional investment counselors. Investors should discuss any investment with their personal investment counsel. John Mauldin is the President of Millennium Wave Advisors, LLC (MWA), which is an investment advisory firm registered with multiple states. John Mauldin is a registered representative of Millennium Wave Securities, LLC, (MWS), an FINRA registered broker-dealer. MWS is also a Commodity Pool Operator (CPO) and a Commodity Trading Advisor (CTA) registered with the CFTC, as well as an Introducing Broker (IB). Millennium Wave Investments is a dba of MWA LLC and MWS LLC. Millennium Wave Investments cooperates in the consulting on and marketing of private and non-private investment offerings with other independent firms such as Altegris Investments; Capital Management Group; Absolute Return Partners, LLP; Fynn Capital; Nicola Wealth Management; and Plexus Asset Management. Investment offerings recommended by Mauldin may pay a portion of their fees to these independent firms, who will share 1/3 of those fees with MWS and thus with Mauldin. Any views expressed herein are provided for information purposes only and should not be construed in any way as an offer, an endorsement, or inducement to invest with any CTA, fund, or program mentioned here or elsewhere. Before seeking any advisor's services or making an investment in a fund, investors must read and examine thoroughly the respective disclosure document or offering memorandum. Since these firms and Mauldin receive fees from the funds they recommend/market, they only recommend/market products with which they have been able to negotiate fee arrangements.

PAST RESULTS ARE NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS. THERE IS RISK OF LOSS AS WELL AS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR GAIN WHEN INVESTING IN MANAGED FUNDS. WHEN CONSIDERING ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, INCLUDING HEDGE FUNDS, YOU SHOULD CONSIDER VARIOUS RISKS INCLUDING THE FACT THAT SOME PRODUCTS: OFTEN ENGAGE IN LEVERAGING AND OTHER SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT PRACTICES THAT MAY INCREASE THE RISK OF INVESTMENT LOSS, CAN BE ILLIQUID, ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PERIODIC PRICING OR VALUATION INFORMATION TO INVESTORS, MAY INVOLVE COMPLEX TAX STRUCTURES AND DELAYS IN DISTRIBUTING IMPORTANT TAX INFORMATION, ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE SAME REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AS MUTUAL FUNDS, OFTEN CHARGE HIGH FEES, AND IN MANY CASES THE UNDERLYING INVESTMENTS ARE NOT TRANSPARENT AND ARE KNOWN ONLY TO THE INVESTMENT MANAGER. Alternative investment performance can be volatile. An investor could lose all or a substantial amount of his or her investment. Often, alternative investment fund and account managers have total trading authority over their funds or accounts; the use of a single advisor applying generally similar trading programs could mean lack of diversification and, consequently, higher risk. There is often no secondary market for an investor's interest in alternative investments, and none is expected to develop.

All material presented herein is believed to be reliable but we cannot attest to its accuracy. Opinions expressed in these reports may change without prior notice. John Mauldin and/or the staffs may or may not have investments in any funds cited above as well as economic interest. John Mauldin can be reached at 800-829-7273.