

# **Hot Summer Economic Weirdness**

By John Mauldin | June 11, 2016

ECB Spreads the Cash German Banks Hoarding Cash UK Eyes the Brexit Recession Warnings Galore Home for the Summer and Hopefully Cleveland?



"A lost election can have the jolt of a drop through the gallows door, leading to a dark night of the soul in which the future presses down like a cloud that will never lift." – James Wolcott

"Recession is when a neighbor loses his job. Depression is when you lose yours." - Ronald Reagan

We who inhabit the northern hemisphere will soon enter summer. Many would say summer is already with us – most schools are closed, and a general laziness is beginning to set in – especially for those in the "protected" class. For many of them, summer is a verb. They "summer" in the Hamptons, or Lake Tahoe, or somewhere in the tropics.

Summer isn't lazy for the "unprotected." Working parents often dread it because they have to find - and worse, pay for - child care for their out-of-school children. Vacations to the tropics or anywhere else are just a dream.

As I wrote last month, the protected/unprotected schism increasingly drives both politics and

economics. Maybe it has always driven them, and we're just now recognizing it. In either case, we find ourselves in increasingly weird circumstances. I certainly see parallels with the 1930s. And a number of those circumstances will collide with one another over the next few months.

My intention this week had been to revisit some of the powerful ideas that were discussed at my Strategic Investment Conference two weeks ago. But events are looming in Europe that absolutely demand our attention. The powerful macroeconomic and investing ideas will still be there next week – and at the end of today's letter I will discuss an informal poll I've conducted on the timing of the next recession.

We may be in for a very hot summer. Where to begin?

#### **ECB Spreads the Cash**

This week the European Central Bank launched a new stimulus tactic: outright purchases of corporate bonds. This buy will be in addition to the 800+ billion euros of government bonds it has bought since March 2015.

The ECB wants to create inflation by making borrowers an offer they can't refuse. European public companies have been issuing new debt at a record pace this year. I can see why, too: interest rates were at record lows. The ECB intends to drive them even lower and possibly negative.



If you are the board of a Eurozone company and your central bank offers you free or better-thanfree cash, of course you take it. Japan already proved that this can work back in January, when it first bought corporate bonds at a below-zero interest rate. And this new tactic of the ECB is going to affect more than just corporate bonds in Europe. US multinationals with European subsidiaries (and most have them) are going to be lining up to take advantage of a central bank that will buy up to 70% of anything the corporations issue, at rates that can't be matched in the US. At least for

now. You think that's not going to bring European-style central banking to the United States?

If you're a corporation in Europe, the harder question is what to do with your free cash. The ECB wants you to buy stuff and drive up prices. That would leave you owning stuff, which isn't rational if you think deflation will continue. So the ECB has a chicken-egg problem. They can't have inflation unless businesses and individuals spend their cash, but everyone will hoard their cash until they're convinced inflation is back.

Liquidity is another problem. Bloomberg <u>calculates</u> that the universe of corporate debt eligible for ECB purchase totals about  $\in$  620 billion. To produce the desired effect, the ECB will have to own a significant chunk of that market. At some point it then stops being a "market" by any normal definition.

Japan is already dealing with that problem and worse, since it buys equity ETFs as well as bonds. The Japanese government bond (JGB) market is becoming a *monopsony* – the opposite of a monopoly. Instead of one seller, JGBs have only one buyer. It is very possible that other markets will soon operate similarly. How can the center hold in such an economy?

Where does the distortion of markets end? I don't know. More to the point, Draghi and Kuroda don't know, either. Draghi is buying private assets because in his view there are no better options. Even at the "relatively" small amounts it is buying now, the ECB is already distorting the credit markets.

The ECB won't officially confirm what it bought until sometime next month, but word quickly leaked out that the purchases included Anheuser-Busch, InBev, Siemens, and other well-known names. Do you think some bond traders might have used that information before it appeared in the press? You know the answer to that one.

Regardless, Draghi will keep buying assets. He could buy most of the Eurozone and still not have any inflation.

# German Banks Hoarding Cash

Speaking of cash-hoarding behavior – which is one side effect of negative rates – one of Germany's largest banks is seriously considering it. Sources within Commerzbank have told <u>Reuters</u> they are "examining the possibility" of hoarding physical euros by the billions in secure vaults. This would let them avoid the -0.4% NIRP penalty for parking cash with the ECB.

This is truly bizarre. Under normal conditions, holding cash is anathema to commercial bankers. They keep as little as possible on hand and certainly don't go out of their way to hold more. Yet here we see a major bank considering doing just that. The only way that tactic makes sense is if the bank can't profitably lend the cash to its customers, which, given the rules for lending in Europe, actually happens to be the case.

Nonbank financial institutions are also storing cash. Munich Re said back in March it would store

both physical cash and gold to avoid paying negative interest rates. Management framed the move as a minor test at the time. It may well have been – but you don't conduct such a test unless you see some chance that you will need to hold cash on a larger scale.

Perhaps not coincidentally, the ECB thrilled conspiracy buffs last month by <u>announcing</u> it would cease issuing 500-euro notes after 2018. The ostensible reason is that the large notes could "facilitate illicit activities." Few <u>believed</u> the deterrence of crime was the bank's main objective. The presence of large amounts of physical cash in an economy is inconvenient for any central bank that wants to push interest rates negative, as the ECB does.

Now the ECB's move makes more sense. Draghi isn't worried about German and French citizens stuffing their mattresses with euros. The real threat would be banks doing so on a much larger scale.

Here's my guess. The ECB's promise to continue issuing 500-euro notes until 2018 is probably honest – but they made no promises about how *many* they will issue. I bet the number slows to a trickle very soon. The big bills will be hard to find, especially if your name is Commerzbank or Munich Re.

Meanwhile, Deutsche Bank is also sparring with the ECB. Chief Economist David Folkerts-Landau <u>issued a note</u> (in less polite circles we would call it a smackdown) last week, blasting Draghi's stimulus plans. He was unusually blunt (if you could call launching an economic nuclear weapon blunt), especially for someone whose bank is not exactly on the firmest footing right now. The entire piece is worth reading to gain an appreciation of the intensity with which Folkerts-Landau addresses the ECB. (Emphasis below is mine.)

Already it is clear that lower and lower interest rates and ever larger purchases are confronting the law of decreasing returns. What is more, the ECB has lost credibility within markets and more worryingly among the public.

But the ECB's response is to push policy to further extremes. This causes misallocations in the real economy that become increasingly hard to reverse without even greater pain. Savers lose, while stock and apartment owners rejoice.

Worse, by appointing itself the eurozone's "whatever it takes" saviour of last resort, the ECB has allowed politicians to sit on their hands with regard to growth-enhancing reforms and necessary fiscal consolidation.

# Thereby ECB policy is threatening the European project as a whole for the sake of short-term financial stability. The longer policy prevents the necessary catharsis, the more it contributes to the growth of populist or extremist politics.

Our models suggest that in its fight against the spectres of deflation and unanchored inflation expectations, the ECB's monetary policy has already become too loose.

Hence, we believe the ECB should start to prepare a reversal of its policy stance. The expected increase in headline inflation to above one per cent in the first quarter of 2017 should provide the opportunity for signaling a change.

The chances of ECB's reversing policy as Herr Folkerts-Landau suggests range between slim and none. He surely knows this, too, which makes me wonder what he hopes to accomplish with his pronouncement. DB senior management must have approved the message. Given their situation, it is a strange way to talk about your regulator. Maybe we'll find out what DB is up to before this weird summer is over.

As a sidebar, I thought I would throw in the following rate chart from Europe and Japan, showing just how far out the yield curve negative rates extend. Given that the ECB intends to absorb investment-grade corporate bonds, it is going to push corporate bonds into negative rates when they're sold on the open market and will push the negative rates out on the yield curve for sovereign bonds. How in the Wide World of Sports does anybody think that pensions and insurance companies can survive in such a market? Remember, they are required to hold a certain amount of government bonds, and their investment return targets are north of 5%. I could do a whole letter on the coming debacle in European insurance companies. It is way beyond the crisis point now.

The Matrix: Race to Negative Bond Yields													
Country	1-Year	2-Year	3-Year	4-Year	5-Year	6-Year	7-Year	8-Year	9-Year	10-Year	15-Year	20-Year	30-Year
Switzerland	-0.86	-0.89	-0.94	-0.89	-0.85	-0.77	-0.71	-0.60	-0.51	-0.44	-0.18	-0.05	0.10
Japan	-0.26	-0.24	-0.24	-0.25	-0.24	-0.24	-0.24	-0.22	-0.18	-0.13	0.01	0.22	0.30
Germany	-0.54	-0.55	-0.56	-0.51	-0.43	-0.38	-0.31	-0.23	-0.11	0.03	0.12	0.35	0.62
Austria	-0.50	-0.50	-0.44	-0.42	-0.39	-0.22	-0.17	-0.08	0.08	0.22	0.30	0.80	1.06
Netherlands		-0.49	-0.46	-0.43	-0.26	-0.24	-0.12	-0.01	0.12	0.24			0.74
Belgium	-0.51	-0.50	-0.46	-0.41	-0.36	-0.25	-0.15	0.11	0.27	0.42	0.80	0.89	1.33
Finland	-0.53	-0.49	-0.45	-0.33	-0.28	-0.12	-0.07	0.02	0.16	0.33	0.57		0.77
France	-0.49	-0.44	-0.41	-0.33	-0.21	-0.15	-0.04	0.08	0.24	0.38	0.73	1.02	1.21
Sweden	-0.50	-0.63		-0.52	-0.33		-0.06			0.30		1.06	
Denmark		-0.40			-0.17	0.31				0.28			0.73
Ireland	-0.18		-0.31	-0.15	-0.07	0.12	0.29	0.50	0.65	0.72	1.08		1.58
Italy	-0.14	-0.06	-0.02	0.18	0.39	0.58	0.78	0.99	1.20	1.37	1.70	2.02	2.41
Spain	-0.16	-0.11	-0.01	0.22	0.44	0.48	0.71	1.07	1.22	1.41	1.80		2.56
United States	0.57	0.76	0.91		1.20		1.47			1.66			2.46
					Pens		Part	ners					

# **UK Eyes the Brexit**

Across the Channel, UK citizens will vote on June 23 whether to leave the European Union. The latest polls suggest that the vote is very close and the "Leave" side has a slight edge. However, the poll I saw this afternoon showed "Leave" ahead by a full 10 points, 55 to 45. More importantly, the trend over the past few weeks has seen the spread in favor of "Leave" widen. If you are in the "Remain" camp, you have to be worried.

It is hard to overstate the impact Brexit will have, should it pass. And the impact will spread far beyond Britain's borders The UK is the EU's second largest economy after Germany and one of its largest exporters. Numerous laws and international agreements may need to be rebuilt. Even with years of planning, Brexit could make the Y2K bug look puny.

A major concern is what a winning Brexit vote might inspire in other nations. French voters dislike the EU even more than UK voters do, according to a recent Pew survey <u>reported</u> by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard. The EU racked up a 61% unfavorable rating in France versus 48% in the UK. <u>Opinions in Spain and Germany</u> aren't much more positive. The EU doesn't look like an "evercloser union" to the citizens of its largest members.

Yesterday I got the following note from Brent Donnelly (trader par excellence at Citi FX, whose morning note is a must-read). He is quoting the London *Daily Express*:

EU FALLING APART: Now HOLLAND wants its own referendum to follow historic Brexit vote.

NINE out of 10 Dutch people hope the Netherlands will hold its own EU referendum amid mass discontent at Brussels bureaucrats. A whopping 88 per cent of people polled by a top Dutch newspaper said they would be in favour of an in/out vote along British lines. They have been inspired by possibility of Britain quitting the bloc on June 23 – and hope 'Nexit' will follow Brexit.

Harry van Bommel, MP for Holland's Socialist Party, told Express.co.uk: "If Britain leaves, that will give other countries courage.

"So now debate is beginning in the Netherlands about having a referendum on EU membership.

"We cannot go on the way we are – financing Greece, trying to keep countries in the eurozone. The eurozone will break up eventually." He added: "Because we're in the euro, Dutch people see budget cuts, unemployment going up, and they relay that to the EU. These facts make the EU very unpopular. People distrust Europe and some people even hate Europe – it's in an existential crisis."

The graph below shows the general negative trends in opinions on the EU in other European countries.



Despite this trend, Politico <u>reported</u> last week that French leaders intend to push for severe consequences against the UK if Brexit passes. Paris thinks it must send a strong warning to other would-be deserters that leaving the EU would be very painful. Maybe they also want to send that warning to their own citizens.

The EU is a distinct entity from the Eurozone currency area, which has its own problems. I think the EU can probably survive a Brexit, but it won't survive a Frexit, Germexit or Spexit. (Just for the record, there is no easy way to combine *Italy* and *exit*, so I think that will have to be referred to by the Italian word for exit – U*scita* – if and when the time comes.) And that would leave Europe back where it was before World War II: a fragmented continent in which disagreements get very ugly and occasionally turn violent.

No one wants that outcome, but very few Europeans outside EU headquarters in Brussels seem happy with the status quo, either. This summer could see the beginnings of a devolution. It's up to UK voters now.

Just as the rest of the world cannot understand what America was thinking when we nominated Donald Trump for president, most of us who think we understand the consequences of Brexit are wondering what the British are thinking. Can they be serious?

I had this discussion with Jim Bianco yesterday. He pointed out that it is almost impossible to turn the TV on in England without seeing Labor Party leaders, Tory Party leaders, economists, and everybody else except Boris Johnson telling people why Brexit is a bad idea.

The mood in Europe is part and parcel of the same phenomenon that we are seeing with Trump and Sanders in the United States. There is growing discontent with the establishment, no matter which party people are aligned with.

Thus the pollsters are going crazy trying to figure out how to handicap this referendum vote in England. The numbers are not breaking down along the normal left–right lines that they have in their political models.

By the way, if England does decide to leave the EU, expect a quick call for a referendum on Scotland's leaving the United Kingdom and joining the EU on its own.

William Butler Yeats does really seem to have nailed it, a-way back in 1919:

Turning and turning in the widening gyre The falcon cannot hear the falconer; Things fall apart; the centre cannot hold; Mere anarchy is loosed upon the world, The blood-dimmed tide is loosed, and everywhere The ceremony of innocence is drowned; The best lack all conviction, while the worst Are full of passionate intensity. Surely some revelation is at hand...

#### **Recession Warnings Galore**

After hearing so many speakers talk about the potential for another recession at my Strategic Investment Conference last month, I started collecting recession warnings. Now I see them everywhere. Worse, many come from people I greatly respect and have found reliable over the years.

In the next week I flew to New York where my usual wont is to call dinner with a small group of friends, at which we talk all things economic, political, and social. One of the fixtures seems to be Art Cashin, but busy schedules insure a fresh rotation. This week, in addition to Art I got to have dinner with great friends Jack Rivkin, a longtime PaineWebber partner and now the brains at Altegris; Peter Boockvar of the Lindsey Group; Rich Yamarone, chief economist at Bloomberg; Lakshman Achuthan, the guiding light at ECRI; and Vikram Mansharamani, a Yale professor and author of *Boombustology*.

One of the questions I posed was, "When do you expect to see the next recession?" And the calls were all over the board. There is hardly a better group to ask about the timing of a recession. One person thought the recession will start in the fourth quarter of this year; another suggested that it

will start in January of next year; several others thought 12 to 18 months; and the outlier anticipated that recession is still two to three years off. As I reflected on the responses later that night, I realized I could create my own dot chart, similar to what the Fed does with its interest-rate and economic forecasts.

Now seven people is a group, but it doesn't give us the "wisdom of the crowd." So I spent Thursday and Friday making phone calls. I got ahold of David Rosenberg, Martin Barnes (of the *Bank Credit Analyst*), Lacy Hunt, and Jim Bianco, among others. I didn't need to call Albert Edwards of Societe Generale, as (a) he is always bearish, and (b) he just came out with a newsletter saying that a recession is imminent, which in his terminology and reading of the data means fourth quarter. You can read his take <u>here</u>.



And then Mish Shedlock shared this chart that supports his own recession call.

If the jobs trend follows what we saw in the last recession, it is about to get much worse.

Not all of the forecasts from the group I polled by phone were as bearish. A couple didn't think a recession would start for another five years absent an "exogenous" shock (i.e., one originating outside this country). But that caveat was also invoked by nearly all of those who didn't think the recession would start later this year: in their next breath they explained that their forecast would be brought quickly forward if there is an external shock from, say, Europe or China; and some mentioned policy mistakes from central banks as a potential shock.

So what does my "dot plot" look like? It's actually pretty strung out over the next 2½ years, with the outliers being the two five-year calls. Again, the longer-term views on recession always had an \* behind them that said "absent a shock."

The center dot would be at about 18 months, which is fourth quarter 2017. For whatever reason, that pretty much squared with my own prediction at dinner. Again, with the caveat of "absent a shock."

Why do I keep repeating that phrase? Precisely because Brexit, as explained above, could deliver an enormous exogenous shock. And it is something that could happen on June 23 - 12 days from now – triggering repercussions throughout Europe and then the rest of the world.

Can anyone in their right mind imagine Janet Yellen looking at Mish's chart above and deciding to raise interest rates anyway? And then realizing that the polling ahead of Britain's vote on leaving the EU now suggests that Brexit's going to happen? I sure can't. The Fed surely won't pull the trigger at next week's meeting; and if we see Brexit, then a rate increase won't come at the late-July meeting either. We will still be digesting the consequences of Brexit. Then we get past Labor Day and into the heat of a particularly hot and nasty election. Will the Fed throw fuel on that fire?

Maybe we'll see a rate hike in one of the winter meetings IF the data turns around.

Fact is, we have just lived through the most significant policy error committed by a central bank in our lifetimes. The Fed did not raise rates in the latter part of 2013 and throughout 2014 when they had the opportunity, and now that moment may have slipped past them. We could plunge into the next grizzly recession while the Fed still has no ammo to load in their monetary policy gun other than the rubber bullets of further quantitative easing and, gods forbid, negative rates in the world's reserve currency.

All the data show that quantitative easing is not all that effective for doing anything other than raising asset prices for the rich. I want to reiterate a point that I've made in past letters. The Federal Reserve is not authorized to do anything but buy government debt. They are not authorized to do a "helicopter drop" or buy equities or even corporate paper. Those moves would require a change in the Federal Reserve Act, and that means action by Congress in an environment where elements of both parties would like to change the Federal Reserve Act significantly in ways that the Fed would not find particularly helpful. Not that anybody agrees on much of anything.

I agree with the gentleman from Deutsche Bank. We have relied on monetary policy to such an extent that we no longer hold our elected leaders responsible for their inaction. Yet somehow we think that monetary policy is going to save our bacon once again if we slide into a recession. Gentle reader, this time it won't. We need to start Thinking the Unthinkable, which was the title of my speech at the Strategic Investment Conference. I said something along these lines:

If I had gone to people four years ago and said that 40 percent of the world's sovereign bonds would be at negative rates, that central banks would expand their balance sheets by \$10 trillion, and that the world would still look somewhat normal, even with all of the geopolitical risks that we have with ISIS, etc., people would have said, "John, what are you

thinking?" And yet all of those unthinkable things have come about.

In a future letter I will summarize that speech. Even contemplating what should truly be unthinkable is enough to give us economic heartburn. Perhaps we've sailed past the era of conventional monetary policy, off the edge of the known world.

#### Home for the Summer and Hopefully Cleveland?

My doctor and friend Michael Roizen (author of a number of bestselling books, with 28 million copies now sold), head of wellness at the Cleveland Clinic and one of their directors, is a huge Cleveland Cavaliers fan, having box seats right on the floor. He invited me to come up to watch a game, and I decided I would wait for a game six. After last night's game, won decisively by the Warriors (they are now 3-1), it looks like I may have made a bad decision. Now I can only hope that Cleveland somehow pulls it together on San Francisco's home court to force a game six. I have not booked my tickets to Cleveland just yet.

Other than that, my general intent is to stay home for the summer, writing my book and letting my body recover from all the traveling I have done over the past 10 years. The thought of a summer at home is very pleasant, although I know I will have to do some quick shots here and there. Just comes with the territory.

Researching what the world will look like in 20 years has led to some remarkable surprises. Las Vegas may soon be employing a remarkable flying taxi, designed in China, which will fit one person. When you start looking into such ventures, you find that Larry Page of Google has funded his own flying car project, and there are actually half a dozen other serious – and by serious I mean there is major money backing them – companies all looking to bring us various novel forms of flying transport.

Quite a few people grew up watching the Jetsons and wondering when we were going to get our flying cars. Now, some of them have decided to go ahead and build one themselves. I don't think I will be looking to put my money into these ventures, but I'm glad other people have that kind of risk capital and are willing to spend it on cutting-edge technologies. Actually, though, flying cars are not as far-fetched now as they once seemed. Electric motors and batteries have removed the obstacle of heavy combustion engines. Many of the designs look just like much larger versions of the drones you see flying over your backyard.

Uber? Lyft? Automated cars? And now automated flying cars? If I drove a taxi for a living, I might feel just a little paranoid.

You have a great week. I'm looking forward to getting more acquainted with my neighbors, catching up with friends here in the area, and for sure doing my workouts more regularly. It's looking as if it's going to be a good summer.

Your on recession high alert analyst,

dif Marthi

John Mauldin

# Share Your Thoughts on This Article

Post a Comment

Send to a Friend | Print Article | View as PDF | Permissions/Reprints | Previous Article

*Thoughts From the Frontline* is a free weekly economic e-letter by best-selling author and renowned financial expert, John Mauldin. You can learn more and get your free subscription by visiting <u>http://www.mauldineconomics.com</u>.

Please write to <u>subscribers@mauldineconomics.com</u> to inform us of any reproductions, including when and where copy will be reproduced. You must keep the letter intact, from introduction to disclaimers. If you would like to quote brief portions only, please reference <u>http://www.mauldineconomics.com</u>.

To subscribe to John Mauldin's e-letter, please click here: http://www.mauldineconomics.com/subscribe/

To change your email address, please click here: http://www.mauldineconomics.com/change-address

If you would ALSO like changes applied to the Mauldin Circle e-letter, please include your old and new email address along with a note requesting the change for both e-letters and send your request to <u>compliance@2000wave.com</u>.

To unsubscribe, please refer to the bottom of the email.

Thoughts From the Frontline and JohnMauldin.com is not an offering for any investment. It represents only the opinions of John Mauldin and those that he interviews. Any views expressed are provided for information purposes only and should not be construed in any way as an offer, an endorsement, or inducement to invest and is not in any way a testimony of, or associated with, Mauldin's other firms. John Mauldin is the Chairman of Mauldin Economics, LLC. He also is the President of Millennium Wave Advisors, LLC (MWA) which is an investment advisory firm registered with multiple states, President and registered representative of Millennium Wave Securities, LLC, (MWS) member FINRA, SIPC. MWS is also a Commodity Pool Operator (CPO) and a Commodity Trading Advisor (CTA) registered with the CFTC, as well as an Introducing Broker (IB) and NFA Member. Millennium Wave Investments is a dba of MWA LLC and MWS LLC. This message may contain information that is confidential or privileged and is intended only for the individual or entity named above and does not constitute an offer for or advice about any alternative investment product. Such advice can only be made when accompanied by a prospectus or similar offering document. Past performance is not indicative of future performance. Please make sure to review important disclosures at the end of each article. Mauldin companies may have a marketing relationship with products and services mentioned in this letter for a fee.

Note: Joining the Mauldin Circle is not an offering for any investment. It represents only the opinions of John Mauldin and Millennium Wave Investments. It is intended solely for investors who have registered with Millennium Wave Investments and its partners at <u>www.MauldinCircle.com</u> or directly related websites. The Mauldin Circle may send out material that is provided on a confidential basis, and subscribers to the Mauldin Circle are not to send this letter to anyone other than their professional investment counselors. Investors should discuss any investment with their personal investment counsel. John Mauldin is the President of Millennium Wave Advisors, LLC (MWA), which is an investment advisory firm registered with multiple states. John Mauldin is a registered representative of Millennium Wave Securities, LLC, (MWS), an FINRA registered broker-dealer. MWS is also a Commodity Pool Operator (CPO) and a Commodity Trading Advisor (CTA) registered with the CFTC, as well as an Introducing Broker (IB). Millennium Wave Investments is a dba of MWA LLC and MWS LLC. Millennium Wave Investments cooperates in the consulting on and marketing of private and non-private investment offerings with other independent firms such as Altegris

Investments; Capital Management Group; Absolute Return Partners, LLP; Fynn Capital; Nicola Wealth Management; and Plexus Asset Management. Investment offerings recommended by Mauldin may pay a portion of their fees to these independent firms, who will share 1/3 of those fees with MWS and thus with Mauldin. Any views expressed herein are provided for information purposes only and should not be construed in any way as an offer, an endorsement, or inducement to invest with any CTA, fund, or program mentioned here or elsewhere. Before seeking any advisor's services or making an investment in a fund, investors must read and examine thoroughly the respective disclosure document or offering memorandum. Since these firms and Mauldin receive fees from the funds they recommend/market, they only recommend/market products with which they have been able to negotiate fee arrangements.

PAST RESULTS ARE NOT INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS. THERE IS RISK OF LOSS AS WELL AS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR GAIN WHEN INVESTING IN MANAGED FUNDS. WHEN CONSIDERING ALTERNATIVE INVESTMENTS, INCLUDING HEDGE FUNDS, YOU SHOULD CONSIDER VARIOUS RISKS INCLUDING THE FACT THAT SOME PRODUCTS: OFTEN ENGAGE IN LEVERAGING AND OTHER SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT PRACTICES THAT MAY INCREASE THE RISK OF INVESTMENT LOSS, CAN BE ILLIQUID, ARE NOT REQUIRED TO PROVIDE PERIODIC PRICING OR VALUATION INFORMATION TO INVESTORS, MAY INVOLVE COMPLEX TAX STRUCTURES AND DELAYS IN DISTRIBUTING IMPORTANT TAX INFORMATION, ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE SAME REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AS MUTUAL FUNDS, OFTEN CHARGE HIGH FEES, AND IN MANY CASES THE UNDERLYING INVESTMENT PERFORMANCE CAN BE VOLATILE. An investor could lose all or a substantial amount of his or her investment. Often, alternative investment fund and account managers have total trading authority over their funds or accounts; the use of a single advisor applying generally similar trading programs could mean lack of diversification and, consequently, higher risk. There is often no secondary market for an investor's interest in alternative investments, and none is expected to develop.

All material presented herein is believed to be reliable but we cannot attest to its accuracy. Opinions expressed in these reports may change without prior notice. John Mauldin and/or the staffs may or may not have investments in any funds cited above as well as economic interest. John Mauldin can be reached at 800-829-7273.